





# Image Quality Optimisation and Dose Management in CT, SPECT/CT, and PET/CT

Marcus Söderberg

Medical Radiation Physics, Lund University, Skåne University Hospital Malmö

3 September 2013, Uppsala

## Background

- Number of CT investigations increasing
- Hybrid imaging SPECT/CT and PET/CT
- High-dose investigations compared to majority of planar X-ray investigations



Almén et al., SSM Report 2008:03

## **Objectives**

 Evaluate and optimise different approaches for minimising patient radiation absorbed dose and maintaining or improving image quality in CT, SPECT/CT, and PET/CT



## **Objectives**

- Evaluate automatic exposure control (AEC) systems from different CT scanner manufacturers in terms of their potential for reducing absorbed dose to the patient while maintaining adequate image quality (Papers Ia and Ib)
- Evaluate an abdominal CT protocol reducing age-specific risks by balancing absorbed dose and the amount of intravenous (i.v.) **contrast medium** (CM) (Paper II)
- Estimate and optimise the absorbed dose and image quality of a new cone-beam Oarm imaging system for use in spinal surgery (Papers IIIa and IIIb)
- Describe and perform initial tests of a new phantom aimed at investigating spatial resolution, partial volume effect (PVE), and detectability in nuclear medicine tomography (Paper IV)
- Evaluate the influence of different image reconstruction methods for <sup>123</sup>I-MIBG-SPECT images using a rank-order study (Paper V)

#### **Papers la and lb**

Söderberg M, Gunnarsson M *Automatic exposure control in computed tomography – an evaluation of systems from different manufacturers* Acta Radiol 2010; 51(6): 625-634

Söderberg M, Gunnarsson M *The effect of different adaptation strengths on image quality and radiation dose using Siemens CARE Dose 4D* Radiat Prot Dosim 2010; 139(1-3): 173-179

## **AEC in CT**

• Adaptation of the tube current relative patient attenuation



#### **Dynamics of tube current modulation, 64-slice**



Fixed mAs: 100

Image quality: NI=12, min mA=10, max mA=200

#### **Dose reduction: 48%**

Fixed mAs: 100

TOSHIBA

Image quality: SD=10, min mA=10, max mA=500

**Dose reduction: 59%** 

160 140 120 
 Tube load [mAs]

 100
 80

 00
 90
40 20 0 0 10 20 30 60 70 80 50 Slice number (z-axis)

- Philips - ACS+Z-DOM - Siemens - CARE Dose 4D

Fixed mAs: 200 Image quality: 200 mAs/slice

#### **Dose reduction: 51%**

Fixed mAs: 100

Image quality: Quality ref mAs=100, Average/Average

DHILID

SIEMENS

**Dose reduction: 43%** 

### Image quality / noise, 64-slice



#### **Dynamics of tube current modulation**

#### Siemens SOMATOM Sensation 16 – CARE Dose 4D



Dose reduction:

27-52 %

### Conclusion

- AEC systems available in modern CT scanners can contribute to a significant reduction in absorbed dose to the patient
- The reduction ranged from 35-60% for an anthropomorphic chest phantom depending on the system and AEC settings
- The variation in image noise among images obtained along the scanning direction was lower when using the AEC systems, but the image noise generally increased
- User-specified variance of the adaptation strengths in Siemens AEC system can modify image quality or absorbed dose to the patient

### Paper II

Fält T, Söderberg M, Hörberg L, Carlgren I, Leander P

A seesaw balancing radiation dose and intravenous contrast medium dose – evaluation of a new abdominal CT protocol reducing age-specific risks

Am J Roentgenol 2013; 200(2): 383-388



#### Radiation dose vs amount of contrast medium

- Two important risks associated with abdominal contrast enhanced CT are ionising radiation and contrast induced nephropathy (CIN)
- The risks for potential adverse effects from ionising radiation is greater in young patients
- Patients with reduced renal function and the elderly are at a higher risk for CIN

Younger patients: ↑ amount of i.v. CM, ↓ absorbed dose Older patients: ↓ amount of i.v. CM, ↑ absorbed dose maintain CNR Group I : 100 mAs – 600 mg I/kg 16-25 years



Group III : 200 mAs – 420 mg I/kg 51-75 years

8.5 mSv

Group II : 150 mAs – 500 mg l/kg 26-50 years



6.6 mSv

Group IV : 300 mAs – 350 mg l/kg >75 years



12 mSv

### **SNR and CNR**



 ANOVA and Tukey's test could not establish significant differences in SNR and CNR between the groups

## **VGC** analysis



- 1. Visually sharp reproduction of the **liver parenchyma** and intrahepatic portal veins
- 2. Visually sharp reproduction of the pancreatic contours
- Visually sharp reproduction of the kidneys and proximal ureters
- 4. Reproduction of the gallbladder wall

- 5. Visually sharp reproduction of the right **adrenal gland** from adjacent structures
- Visually sharp reproduction of the structures of the liver hilus
- 7. Reproduction of the ductus choledocus in the pancreatic parenchyma

#### Conclusion

- Effective dose was reduced by 57% in the youngest patient group (16-25 years of age) and the amount of i.v. CM was reduced by 18% in the elderly group (>75 years of age)
- An increased amount of i.v. CM can compensate for a reduced radiation absorbed dose and vice versa, maintaining the SNR in the liver and CNR for a hypothetical hypovascular liver metastasis
- Subjective image quality was affected by an increased noise level in the images but was judged to be acceptable in all groups except the one with the lowest radiation absorbed dose

#### **Papers Illa and Illb**

Söderberg M, Abul-Kasim K, Ohlin A, Gunnarsson M *Estimation of organ and effective dose to the patient during spinal surgery with a cone-beam O-arm system* Proc SPIE 2011; 7961(79613G): 1-6

Abul-Kasim K, Söderberg M, Selariu E, Gunnarsson M, Kherad M, Ohlin A *Optimization of radiation exposure and image quality of the cone-beam O-arm intraoperative imaging system in spinal surgery* J Spinal Disord Tech 2012; 25(1): 52-58

## The O-arm imaging system

- Cone-beam CT conventional X-ray tube and a flat panel detector
- Spinal surgery delineate the cortical borders of the pedicles, helping the surgeon correctly insert the pedicle screws between the inner and outer pedicular cortex
- Evaluate optimised scan settings:
  - 120 kV / 320 mAs (large patient)
  - 120 kV / 128 mAs (small patient)
  - 80 kV / 80 mAs
  - 80 kV / 40 mAs
  - 60 kV / 40 mAs





#### **Evaluation of image quality**



 Images with 80 kV/80 mAs were considered reliable with good interobserver agreement when measuring the pedicular width and almost perfect agreement when evaluating the screw placement

#### **Estimation of organ and effective dose – PCXMC**



Often 2-3 scans per patient is needed: ~10 mSv vs ~2 mSv

#### Conclusion

- The effective dose can be reduced to 1.5-2.4 mSv (80 kV / 80 mAs), which is 5 times lower than using the scan settings recommended by the manufacturer (120 kV / 128 mAs) for intra-operative imaging of the chest and abdominal regions in a small patient
- Such a dose reduction does not negatively impact image quality with regard to the information required for spinal surgery

## Paper IV

Söderberg M, Engeland U, Mattsson S, Ebel G, Leide-Svegborn S Initial tests of a new phantom for investigation of spatial resolution, partial volume effect and detectability in nuclear medicine tomography J Phys Conf Ser 2011; 317(012017): 1-7



#### Conclusion

• The MADEIRA phantom has the potential to be a useful and important practical tool for comparing and optimising different acquisition and reconstruction parameters in nuclear medicine tomographic studies

### Paper V

Söderberg M, Mattsson S, Oddstig J, Uusijärvi-Lizana H, Valind S, Thorsson O, Garpered S, Prautzsch T, Tischenko O, Leide-Svegborn S *Evaluation of image reconstruction methods for* <sup>123</sup>*I-MIBG-SPECT: a rank-order study* Acta Radiol 2012; 53: 778-784

#### Conclusion

 Using Siemens Symbia T6 SPECT/CT and specified acquisition parameters, Flash 3D<sub>32</sub> (4 h) and Flash 3D<sub>16</sub> (24 h), followed by ReSPECT, were assessed to be the preferable reconstruction algorithms in visual assessment of <sup>123</sup>I-MIBG images



## Summary



Several approaches are used to minimise radiation absorbed dose and improve image quality



Establish sufficient image quality for a specific diagnostic task with the lowest effective dose to the patient



# **Thanks for your attention!**

#### marcus.soderberg@med.lu.se